Spin-orbit torque and Nernst effect in Bi-Sb/Co heterostructures
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Harmonic measurements of the longitudinal and transverse voltages in Bi-Sb/Co bilayers are presented. A large second harmonic voltage signal due to the ordinary Nernst effect is observed. In experiments where a magnetic field is rotated in the film plane, the ordinary Nernst effect shows the same angular dependence in the transverse voltage as the dampinglike spin-orbit torque and in the longitudinal voltage as the unidirectional spin-Hall magnetoresistance, respectively. Therefore, the ordinary Nernst effect can be a spurious signal in spin-orbit torque measurements, leading to an overestimation of the spin-Hall angle and spin-Hall conductivity in topological insulators or semimetals.
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In metal-based spintronics, heavy metals such as platinum, tantalum, or tungsten are used to convert charge currents into spin currents via the spin-Hall or Rashba-Edelstein effect [1–5]. These spin currents can be used to manipulate the magnetization of a magnet, which has useful application in memory technology [6,7].

One way to quantify the spin-to-charge conversion efficiency is to measure the the spin-Hall angle θSH. While the reported magnitude of the spin-Hall angle varies somewhat in the literature, it is generally agreed that the spin-Hall angle in heavy metals is of the order of 10% [8]. To make a significant impact in memory applications, a larger spin-to-charge conversion efficiency, i.e., a larger spin-Hall angle, is desired [9,10]. In this regard, topological insulators gained immense interest recently due to the unique spin-momentum locking they offer, which could lead to significantly higher spin to charge conversion efficiency [11]. Indeed, various research groups have reported the observation of large spin-Hall angles compared to those observed in heavy metal layers using various characterization methods, such as harmonic Hall voltage measurements [12–14], spin pumping [15–21], spin-Seebeck effect measurements [22], spin-polarized tunneling studies [23,24], domain wall motion experiments [25,26], and spin-torque ferromagnetic resonance [27–31].

In addition, it has been reported that the unidirectional spin-Hall magnetoresistance (USMR) is orders of magnitudes larger in topological insulator/heavy metal bilayers films than in conventional heavy metal/ferromagnet bilayers [32–35]. Since the unidirectional magnetoresistance is asymmetric in the current direction as well as the magnetic field direction, harmonic voltage measurements are often used to quantify this phenomenon [36].

While these aforementioned reports have given credence to the promise of using topological insulator materials for high efficiency electronics applications, there are also recent reports that indicate how the extracted spin-Hall angles could be impacted by spurious signals. For example, Yasuda et al. [37] have discussed how asymmetric magnon scattering could influence the magnitude of the spin-Hall angle. Similarly, it has been observed recently that the Seebeck effect can be a spurious signal in spin-pumping experiments [38]. In addition, Pham et al. have pointed out the importance of thermal effects in magnetic switching experiments [39].

FIG. 1. A double Hall-bar structure is used to measure transverse (Vxy) and longitudinal (Vxx) voltages on the Bi-Sb bilayer samples considered in this work. The magnetic field is applied in the film plane at an angle ϕ with respect to the current direction. The inset shows a micrograph of an actual double Hall-bar device. The width of the Hall bar is 6 μm.
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both points of view of understanding the underlying physics and its ultimate adoption for an application, it is important to identify the sources of spurious signals in the quantification of the spin-Hall angle. In this work we show that there is an additional source, stemming from the ordinary Nernst effect (ONE) [40,41], that could significantly influence the observations made in harmonic Hall measurements, especially for semiconducting spin-Hall materials such as the topological insulators. Notably, ONE refers to the generation of a voltage when both a temperature gradient and a magnetic field are present [42]. The thermal voltage scales linearly with the external magnetic field and has not been taken into account in previous SOT experiments. Therefore the presence of the ONE in topological insulators at room temperature might give an explanation for the giant spin-Hall angles reported recently. Furthermore, the ONE can contribute to the longitudinal voltage signal in USMR-type measurements.

Two control experiments will be discussed in the following to separate thermal effects from SOT and USMR. First, we will compare the harmonic voltage response in Bi-Sb/Co samples to that in Bi-Sb/Al samples. While in Bi-Sb/Co, voltages can arise due to magnetotransport effects, the effects in Bi-Sb/Al will be purely thermal. Second, we will explore voltages can arise due to magnetotransport effects, the effects samples to that in Bi-Sb/Al samples. While in Bi-Sb/Co, the anomalous Nernst effect [46,48], or the longitudinal spin-Seebeck effect [49].

The first harmonic Hall voltage can be written as

\[ V_{xy}^{1_{\text{AMR}}} = V_{\text{PHE}} \sin(2\varphi_B), \]

(1)

\[ V_{xx}^{1_{\text{AMR}}} = V_{\text{AMR}} \cos(2\varphi_B). \]

(2)

Here \( \varphi_B \) is the angle between the current and the external magnetic field \( B_{\text{ext}} \) in the film plane (cf. Fig. 1), \( V_{\text{PHE}} \) is the planar Hall effect amplitude, and \( V_{\text{AMR}} \) is the amplitude of the anisotropic magnetoresistance. The second harmonic voltage is written as [46]

\[ V_{xy}^{2_{\text{AMR}}} = \left( \frac{V_{\text{AHE}}}{2} \frac{\Delta B_{DL}}{B_{\text{ext}} + \mu_0 M_{\text{eff}}} + A\alpha \nabla T + N\alpha B_{\text{ext}} \nabla T \right) \cos(\varphi_B) \]

\[ + \left( \frac{V_{\text{PHE}}}{B_{\text{ext}}} \frac{\Delta B_{FL}}{B_{\text{ext}}} \right) \cos(2\varphi_B) \cos(\varphi_B), \]

(3)

\[ V_{xx}^{2_{\text{AMR}}} = \left( V_{\text{USMR}} + A\beta \nabla T + N\beta B_{\text{ext}} \nabla T \right) \sin(\varphi_B) \]

\[ + \left( \frac{V_{\text{AMR}}}{B_{\text{ext}}} \frac{\Delta B_{FL} + B_{\text{OE}}}{B_{\text{ext}}} \right) \sin(2\varphi_B) \cos(\varphi_B), \]

(4)

where \( V_{\text{AHE}} \) is the anomalous Hall effect voltage, \( B_{\text{OE}} \) is the Oersted field, \( \Delta B_{DL} \) is the effective field due to the damping like torque, \( \mu_0 M_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective magnetization, \( A \) is the coefficient for the ANE/SEE, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are geometrical factors, \( \Delta T \) is the temperature gradient, \( N \) is the ordinary Nernst coefficient, \( \Delta B_{DL} \) is the effective field due to fieldlike torque, and \( V_{\text{USMR}} \) is the amplitude of the unidirectional spin-Hall magnetoresistance.

The fieldlike SOT can be extracted from the \( \varphi_B \) dependence of the second harmonic voltage signal. However, it is important to consider the magnetic field dependence in addition to the \( \varphi_B \) dependence for the extraction of the dampinglike SOT, as well as the USMR, to distinguish magnetothermal effects from SOT.

We start by discussing the angular dependence of the transverse harmonic voltages. The top panel in Fig. 2 shows \( V_{xy}^{1_{\text{AMR}}} \) as a function of \( \varphi_B \). In the samples Bi-Sb/Co and Pt/Pt, a sin(2\( \varphi_B \)) dependence can be seen. This is due to the presence of the PHE in the Co and Py layers, respectively. \( V_{xy}^{1_{\text{AMR}}} \) does not depend on \( B_{\text{ext}} \) in Bi-Sb/Al, due to the absence of a magnetic layer.

The second harmonic Hall voltage \( V_{xy}^{2_{\text{AMR}}} \) is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. In all three samples under consideration, \( V_{xy}^{2_{\text{AMR}}} \) vs \( \varphi_B \) can be well fitted by Eq. (3) (solid lines). In the Pt/Pt sample contributions from the fieldlike torque are clearly visible. On the other hand, samples Bi-Sb/Co and Bi-Sb/Al do not show the characteristic \( \cos(2\varphi_B) \cos(\varphi_B) \) dependence. Since no magnet is present in the sample.
Bi-Sb/Al, the large second harmonic voltage is attributed to the ONE. The fact that no fieldlike torque is observed in the sample Bi-Sb/Co, as well as the fact that voltage signals in Bi-Sb/Al and Bi-Sb/Co are comparable gives rise to the suspicion that the signal in the sample Bi-Sb/Co might be dominated by the ONE. To unambiguously distinguish the ONE from SOT, the $B_{\text{ext}}$ dependence will have to be considered, which we will discuss after the following discussion on USMR measurements.

In addition to the angular dependence of $V_{xy}$, the longitudinal voltage $V_T$ was measured (Fig. 3). Again, a signal from the anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR) is only observed in the samples with a magnetic layer (top panel), while all samples show an angular dependence of $V_{xx}$ that can be well described with Eq. (4) (bottom panel). In the Pt/Py reference sample, a clear signature from fieldlike SOT $[\propto \sin(2\varphi_B) \cos(\varphi_B)]$ can be observed while the USMR $[\propto \sin(\varphi_B)]$ is small. The sample Bi-Sb/Al shows a $\sin(\varphi)$ dependence due to the ONE. The absence of fieldlike torque in Bi-Sb/Co, as well as a signal amplitude comparable to the signal in Bi-Sb/Al, raises the suspicion that the signal in Bi-Sb/Co is dominated by the ONE and not a large USMR.

To determine the origin of the large voltages observed in Bi-Sb/Co, we repeat the measurements shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for different values of $B_{\text{ext}}$. Figure 4 shows the $\cos(\varphi_B)$ contribution to $V_{xx}$ and the $\sin(\varphi_B)$ contribution to $V_{xy}$, respectively. In the reference sample Pt/Py, $V_{xx}$ decreases with increasing $B_{\text{ext}}$. This is expected, because large magnetic fields suppress the quasistatic magnetization oscillations induced by SOT [cf. Eq. (3)]. On the other hand, $V_{xy}$ increases linearly with $B_{\text{ext}}$ in the samples Bi-Sb/Co and Bi-Sb/Al. This is clear evidence for the presence of ONE. Note that $V_{xy}$ is $\approx 50$ times larger in the samples with Bi-Sb.

Similarly, a clear presence for the USMR is seen only in the sample Pt/Py (Fig. 4, bottom panel): $V_{xx}$ decreases with increasing $B_{\text{ext}}$, in accordance with recent reports by Avci et al. [50]. The samples Bi-Sb/Al and Bi-Sb/Co show a linear dependence on $B_{\text{ext}}$, as expected from the ONE. The slope of $V_{xx}$ vs $B_{\text{ext}}$ in Bi-Sb/Co is $0.011(6) \text{ V mT}^{-1}$ and $V_{xx}/B_{\text{ext}} = 0.038(3) \text{ V mT}^{-2}$, respectively. The different values obtained for the longitudinal and transverse voltages are explained by the geometric factors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Eqs. (3) and (4). The ratio is $V_{xx}^\alpha/V_{xy}^\beta = \beta/\alpha = 1/w = 3.3$, where $l$ is the distance between two Hall crosses and $w$ is the width of the Hall bar. This geometrical scaling is a strong indicator that $V_{xx}$ and $V_{xy}$ have the same physical origin. Note that USMR and SOT are distinctly different phenomena and it cannot be expected that they would scale with the device geometry. Next we will calculate the ONE coefficient $N = E_{2\omega}/(B_{\text{ext}} \Delta T)$, where $E_{2\omega}$ is the electric field and show that $N$ is equal in samples Bi-Sb/Co and Bi-Sb/Al. To this end we estimate the temperature gradient using the 1D heat equation $T''(z) = \dot{q}_i/\kappa$, where $T(z)$ is the temperature, $\dot{q}_i$ is the volumetric power density, and $\kappa$ is the thermal conductivity. The boundary conditions at the interfaces are $T_i = T_{i+1}$, $\kappa \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial z} = \kappa_{i+1} \frac{\partial T_{i+1}}{\partial z}$.

We assume no heat conduction at the surface of the sample and a fixed temperature at the backside of the substrate. The following values for the thermal conductivity have been used: $\kappa_{\text{Bi-Sb}} = 6.8 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ [51], $\kappa_{\text{Co}} = 98 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ [52], and $\kappa_{\text{Al}} = 238 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ [53]. At a heating power of $P = 180 \mu\text{W}$, the temperature gradient is $\Delta T_{\text{Bi-Sb/Co}} = 6.6 \text{ mK}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{Bi-Sb/Al}} = 18 \text{ mK}$. Using these values, we find $N = 3 e - 6 \text{ V T}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ in Bi-Sb/Co and $N = 2.6 e - 6 \text{ V T}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$

---

**FIG. 2.** Upper panel: The planar Hall effect is only observed in the samples with magnetic layers (Bi-Sb/Co and Pt/Py). Here $\varphi_B$ is the angle between the current direction and $B_{\text{ext}}$ in the film plane. Lower panel: $V_{2\omega}$ shows a $\cos(\varphi_B)$ dependence in the samples with Bi-Sb, due to the ordinary Nernst effect. In the sample Pt/Py, spin-orbit torque and anomalous Nernst effect are present. The solid lines are fits to Eq. (3).

**FIG. 3.** Upper panel: $V_{xy}$ as a function of $\varphi_B$. A constant offset was removed for easy comparison. The anisotropic magnetoresistance is observed only in the magnetic samples Bi-Sb/Co and Pt/Py. Lower panel: $V_{2\omega}$ in Bi-Sb/Co and Bi-Sb/Al shows a $\sin(\varphi_B)$ dependence. This is expected from the ordinary Nernst effect. In the sample Pt/Py contributions from spin-orbit torque, anomalous Nernst effect and the unidirectional spin-Hall magnetoresistance are observed. The solid lines are fits to Eq. (4).
In summary, harmonic measurements of the longitudinal and transverse voltages in Bi-Sb/Co films are presented. We find strong evidence that the second harmonic voltage in our samples is dominated by contributions from the ordinary Nernst effect: The longitudinal and transverse voltages scale with the device geometry. Furthermore, we show that the ordinary Nernst effect has the same amplitude in Bi-Sb/Al samples where magnetotransport effects are absent. In fact, we find that the voltages from the ordinary Nernst effect is an order of magnitude larger than voltages expected from SOT or USMR, which makes the detection of pure spin related effects highly challenging. It has further been reported that the surface states of a TI are destroyed by a ferromagnet at the interface due to direct exchange [20,21]. The low thermal conductivity of Bi-Sb due to its semiconducting nature is predominantly responsible for the large ONE related voltages observed in our experiments. Given that the symmetry of the ONE related voltages are the same as those originating from spin-orbit torque, it is critical to measure the harmonic voltages as a function of the external magnetic field amplitude to distinguish different contributions such as ANE, ONE, and SOT/USMR.
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